





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,325	04/18/2001	Barclay J. Tullis	2001004	8577
7	590 11/25/2002			
BARCLAY J. TULLIS			EXAMINER	
1795 GUINDA ST. PALO ALTO, CA 94303			PATEL, TULSIDAS C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2839	
		DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/837,325	TULLIS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		T. C. Patel	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE : - Exte - after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, n within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	on of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) ☐. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,325

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a Second Non-Final Action on the Merits. Claims 1-20 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-6, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Tseng et al. (US 5,809,188).

Tseng et al. in figures 1-4, discloses a fiber optic apparatus comprising crystal substrate 40 having first surface (top), a first array of groove 120 (figure 4a) etched into the surface, an array of fibers 10 held in the grooves, wherein the fibers are held in the substrate forms a multiple apparatuses. For claims 3 and 4, the substrate is disclosed to be silicon (column 2,

Application/Control Number: 09/837,325

Art Unit: 2839

lines 40-45), and can me etched on Miller plane and for claims 5 and 6, the disclosed device is a filter.

For claim 16, the two sides of the substrate form two ports. For claim 17, thin film over side polished area is also disclosed in figure 2a.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 7-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw et al. (US 5,809,188) in view of Farries (US 5,778,119).

As discussed above, Shaw et al. satisfies the limitations of claims 1, 3-6, 16 and 17. However, Shaw et al. does not disclose loop system Farries, in figure 4, discloses looping of fiber for coupling at more than one location.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the substrate of Shaw et al. and provide loop of the fiber so as to couple a particular frequency to a different fiber. For claim 2, the substrate of Shaw et al. is made of silica and can be etched along Miller planes. For claim 7, it is obvious to provide second substrate with groove to accommodate the second fiber at coupling location. For claim 10, the fiber 120 of Farris is polished at two locations. For claims 11-14, two sides of substrate are opposite sides and forms multi-port apparatus. For claim 15, the modified device would have

Art Unit: 2839

stacked substrates with matching grooves and multi-dimension array of fibers. For claims 18-20, binding of fibers at the interface is well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Since the rejection is based on different art, the Examiner has made this rejection non-final.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. C. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736.

TUPAR

T. C. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

tcp

November 22, 2002